## Intro To Perturbation Theory \*

(Dated: February 11, 2003)

I.

Assuming a soluble Hamiltonian  $H_0$  and a "true" Hamiltonian  $H_{true}$  such that the difference between the two can be considered in some sense "small", one has

$$H_{true}\Psi = E\Psi$$

as the equation we wish to solve. Let's write the true Hamiltonian as

$$H_0 + \lambda H'$$

so that we can change  $\lambda$  from zero (no perturbation) to one, where the perturbation is fully effective. We have, if  $H_0$ was well chosen

$$H_0\Psi_i^0 = E_i^0\Psi_i^0$$

where i is the quantum number of the state with the appropriate energy and appropriate wave (eigen) function. We wish to solve the equation

$$(H_0 + \lambda H') \Psi_n = E_n \Psi_n$$

and, for the time being, we assume that all wave functions under discussion are non-degenerate!

We expand the wave function and eignenergy of the true problem in powers of  $\lambda$  and collect terms of similar powers of  $\lambda$  together. We have

$$\Psi_n = \Psi_n^0 + \lambda \Psi_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 \Psi_n^{(2)} + \dots$$
 (1)

and

$$E_n = E_n^0 + \lambda E_n^{(1)} + \lambda^2 E_n^{(2)} + \cdots$$
 (2)

Of course, we assume that each added order of correction is smaller than its predecessors. We then have

$$(H_{0} + \lambda H') \Psi_{n}^{0} + \lambda \Psi_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2} \Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \dots = \left( E_{n}^{0} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2} E_{n}^{(2)} + \dots \right) \left( \Psi_{n}^{0} + \lambda \Psi_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2} \Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \dots \right)$$
(3)

i.e.,

$$H_{0}\Psi_{n}^{0} + H_{0}\lambda\Psi_{n}^{(1)} + H_{0}\lambda^{2}\Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \dots \lambda H'\Psi_{n}^{0} + \lambda H'\lambda\Psi_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda H'\lambda^{2}\Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \dots = E_{n}^{0}\Psi_{n}^{0} + E_{n}^{0}\lambda\Psi_{n}^{(1)} + E_{n}^{0}\lambda^{2}\Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \Psi_{n}^{0} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda \Psi_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda E_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2}\Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \lambda^{2}E_{n}^{(2)}\Psi_{n}^{0} + \lambda^{2}E_{n}^{(2)}\lambda\Psi_{n}^{(1)} + \lambda^{2}E_{n}^{(2)}\lambda^{2}\Psi_{n}^{(2)} + \dots$$
(4)

Obviously, expanding the product and grouping terms by powers of  $\lambda$  leads us to a set of coupled equations:

$$H_0 \Psi_n^0 = E_n^0 \Psi_n^0; \lambda = 0$$

$$H_0 \Psi_n^{(1)} + H' \Psi_n^{(0)} = E_n^{(0)} \Psi_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(1)} \Psi_n^{(0)}; \lambda = 1$$

$$H_0 \Psi_n^{(2)} + H' \Psi_n^{(1)} = E_n^{(0)} \Psi_n^{(2)} + E_n^{(1)} \Psi_n^{(1)} + E_n^{(2)} \Psi_n^{(0)}; \lambda = 2$$

$$\dots$$
(5)
$$\dots$$

(6)

\*l2h2:pert0.tex

If now, for the first order correction, we use

$$\Psi_n^{(1)} = \sum_i a_{n,i} \Psi_i^{(0)}$$

then the first order equation reads

$$H_0 \sum_i a_{n,i} \Psi_i^{(0)} + H' \Psi_n^{(0)} = E_n^{(0)} \sum_i a_{n,i} \Psi_i^{(0)} + E_n^{(1)} \Psi_n^{(0)};$$

Multiplying by  $\Psi_k^{(0)^*}$  and integrating we obtain

$$< k|H^{(1)}|n> +a_{n,k}(E_k^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)}) = E_n^{(1)}\delta_{n,k}$$

## II. AN EXAMPLE

Consider a harmonic oscillator with a harmonic perturbation. That means

$$H_{true} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + (k+\lambda)\frac{x^2}{2}$$

where we have added a  $\lambda$  dependent pertubation onto an existing Harmonic Oscillator. Clearly, the exact (true) answer to the complete infinite order pertubation analysis would be energy levels for the  $k + \lambda$  force constant H.O.. (see Equation 8)

$$< k |\lambda \frac{x^2}{2}|n> +a_{n,k}(E_k^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)}) = E_n^{(1)}\delta_{n,k}$$

There are two cases we need to deal with, when n=k and when  $n \neq k$ . For the former we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} < n|x^2|n> + zero = E_n^{(0)}$$

which is the correction to the original energy, while for the latter we have

$$a_{n,k} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\langle k|x^2|n\rangle}{E_k^{(0)} - E_n^{(0)}}$$

which is the prescription for obtaining the expansion coöefficients for the first order perturbation wave function.

## **III. LADDER OPERATOR EVALUATION**

We know that  $a_{+} = p + i\hbar\omega x$  and  $a_{-} = p - i\hbar\omega x$  so

$$x = \frac{a_+ - a_-}{2i\hbar\omega}$$

and therefore

$$x^{2} = -\frac{1}{4\hbar^{2}\omega^{2}} \left(a_{+}^{2} - a_{+}a_{-} - a_{-}a_{+} + a_{-}^{2}\right)$$

and since

$$a_{-}|0\rangle = (p - im\omega x)|0\rangle = i\hbar \frac{d|0\rangle}{dx} - im\omega x|0\rangle = 0$$

one obtains

$$\ell n|0\rangle = -\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2 + C_1 = \ell n|0\rangle = C_2 e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2}$$

where  $C_2$  is a normalization constant.

$$(p + \imath m \omega x)|0> = -\imath \hbar \frac{d|0>}{dx} + \imath m \omega x|0> \rightarrow |1>$$

which means that

$$2im\omega x|0> \to |1>$$
$$|1> = C_3 x e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2}$$

and

i.e.,

$$a_{-}a_{+}|0> \rightarrow |0>$$

 $a + |0\rangle \rightarrow |2\rangle$ 

we have

$$<0|\frac{\lambda x^2}{2}|0> = -\frac{\lambda}{8\hbar^2\omega^2} <1|1>$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Remember that there is a question of normalization which has not been addressed, i.e., when laddering up and down, what happens to the normalization?

## IV. A MORE DIRECT SCHEME BY BRUTE FORCE INTEGRATION

We know that  $E_0^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$  and  $E_{true}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k+\lambda}{m}}$  so, expanding the latter in a Taylor series in  $\lambda$  should result in a power series identical to that obtained by perturbation theory. We have for the ground vibrational state

$$E_{true}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k+\lambda}{m}} \tag{8}$$

and, expanding

$$E_{true}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} + \left(\frac{\partial E_{true}^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}\right)_{\lambda=0} \lambda + \cdots$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial E_{true}^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}\right) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{m}\right)^{-1/2} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{\hbar}{4m} \sqrt{\frac{m}{k+\lambda}}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial E_{true}^{(0)}}{\partial \lambda}\right)\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\hbar}{4m} \sqrt{\frac{m}{k+\lambda}}\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\hbar}{4m} \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} = \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega}$$

$$E_{true}^{(0)} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} + \frac{\hbar}{4m\omega} \lambda + \cdots$$
(9)

Since the ground state wave function is

$$\psi_0(x) = C e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2/2}$$

we know that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_0(x)^2 dx = 1$$

forces the value of C, i.e.,

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2/2}\right)^2 dx}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2}\right) dx}}$$

meaning that we need to remind ourselves about the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha \eta^2} d\eta = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}$$

yields a value for C

$$C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}}}} = C = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}}}}} C = \sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}}} = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

since  $\alpha = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}$  in our context. Thus

$$\psi_0(x) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\sqrt{\pi}}} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

we can easily evaluate the term (Equation 7)

We will need the matrix element of  $x^2$ , i.e., we will need the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta^2 e^{-\alpha \eta^2} d\eta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha^3}}$$

to ascertain the value of the right hand side of this equation, i.e.,

$$<0|\frac{\lambda x^2}{2}|0> = \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x^2} dx$$

This is a straight forward evaluation, yielding

$$<0|\frac{\lambda x^2}{2}|0>=\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\right)^3}}$$

 $\operatorname{or}$ 

$$\frac{\lambda}{4} \frac{m^{1/2} \omega^{1/2}}{\hbar^{1/2}} \frac{\hbar^{3/2}}{m^{3/2} \omega^{3/2}}$$

which finally is the desired result, Equation 9,

$$<0|\frac{\lambda x^2}{2}|0>=\frac{\lambda}{4}\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}$$